



Beesley, J., & Woolfson, D. (2019). The de novo design of α -helical peptides for supramolecular self-assembly. *Current Opinions in Biotechnology*, 58, 175-182.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2019.03.017>

Peer reviewed version

License (if available):
CC BY-NC-ND

Link to published version (if available):
[10.1016/j.copbio.2019.03.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2019.03.017)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)
PDF-document

This is the author accepted manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via Elsevier at <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0958166919300199?via%3Dihub>. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/>

Submitted to Current Opinion in Biotechnology by invitation

The *de novo* design of α -helical peptides for supramolecular self-assembly

Joseph L. Beesley¹ and Derek N. Woolfson^{1,2,3*}

¹School of Chemistry, University of Bristol, Cantock's Close, Bristol BS8 1TS, UK

²School of Biochemistry, University of Bristol, Medical Sciences Building, University Walk,
Bristol BS8 1TD, UK

³BrisSynBio, University of Bristol, Life Sciences Building, Tyndall Avenue, Bristol BS8 1TQ,
UK

*To correspondence should be addressed: D.N.Woolfson@bristol.ac.uk

Abstract

One approach to designing *de novo* proteinaceous assemblies and materials is to develop simple, standardised building blocks and then to combine these symmetrically to construct more-complex higher-order structures. This has been done extensively using β -structured peptides to produce peptide fibres and hydrogels. Here we focus on building with *de novo* α -helical peptides. Because of their self-contained, well-defined structures and clear sequence-to-structure relationships, α helices are highly programmable making them robust building blocks for biomolecular construction. The progress made with this approach over the past two decades is astonishing and has led to a variety of *de novo* assemblies, including discrete nanoscale objects, and fibrous, nanotube, sheet and colloidal materials. This body of work provides an exceptionally strong foundation for advancing the field beyond *in vitro* design and into *in vivo* applications including what we call *protein design in cells*.

Introduction

De novo peptide and protein design refers to the programming of amino acid sequences to adopt predefined three-dimensional structures. This can be guided by studies of natural proteins, but the aim is to achieve minimal non-natural sequences to realise existing or completely new protein folds [1]. Originally, design approaches were referred to as rational design as they were founded on bioinformatics and empiricism. Increasingly however, powerful computational tools are emerging that allow *in silico* scoring and optimisation of huge numbers of sequences compatible with the target structure [2,3]. A key area within this maturing field is the design of polypeptide-based systems programmed to self-assemble non-covalently into defined supramolecular structures. This tests our understanding of peptide–peptide and protein–protein interactions and also has potential to produce biocompatible materials for applications in biotechnology and nanomedicine.

Thus far, a variety of ordered assemblies have been achieved with *de novo* polypeptides, including discrete particles, linear assemblies of fibres or nanotubes, and multi-dimensional arrays such as 2D lattices and crystals (Figure 1) [4]. A founding tenet of the field is the programmed assembly of simple components with rotational symmetry (C_n) [5]. Assembly *via* a single interface leads to closed rings or filaments, while using two interfaces enables more-complex supramolecular systems [6]. This approach was pioneered by Yeates who demonstrated that natural proteins of defined oligomeric states (*i.e.* symmetries) can be combined to produce fusion proteins that assemble into a variety of architectures [7]. Others have advanced this top-down approach to supramolecular assembly by redesigning symmetric proteins to associate *via* new interfaces or metal coordination [8-11].

De novo peptide self-assembly is a broad field [12]. Currently, much of the work centres on the fibres and hydrogels formed by small β -strand peptides, alternating D- and L-residue cyclic peptides, Fmoc-dipeptides and collagens; this subfield is reviewed elsewhere [13,14]. Assembly of more-ordered and discrete systems from the bottom-up is increasingly being achieved with *de novo* α -helical peptides [15]. This is due to several important features of

the α helix: 1) its predictable geometry defined by the narrow range of energetically favourable combinations of torsion angles in Ramachandran space; 2) straightforward sequence patterns of hydrophobic and polar residues that lead to amphipathic helices, which then readily associate; and 3) further well-understood sequence-to-structure relationships that allow this association to be directed to form specified oligomeric states and topologies. Here, we discuss recent progress in the design of supramolecular structures that use *de novo* α -helical peptides as building blocks.

Directing α -helical association to make coiled-coil building blocks

α -Helical oligomerisation can be programmed precisely through the formation of coiled coils, which are common structural motifs in which two or more helices supercoil into rope-like assemblies [16]. The component helices are amphipathic as defined by heptad sequence repeats *HPPHPPP* (where *H* and *P* represent hydrophobic and polar residues, respectively), often annotated ***abcdefg***. Coiled coils form primarily to bury the hydrophobic residues at ***a*** and ***d*** positions, which are brought together on one face of the helix. Moreover, the helix-helix interactions are characterised by intimate “knobs-into-holes” (KIH) packing of these residues [17]. The ***e*** and ***g*** positions that flank the hydrophobic seam are occupied typically by charged residues leading to complementary electrostatic steering and salt bridging between the helices.

In a seminal study, Harbury and coworkers were the first to demonstrate the close relationship between KIH packing and structure, showing that the nature of the hydrophobic residues at ***a*** and ***d*** directs coiled-coil oligomeric state [18]. Detailed study of natural proteins and rational design attempts have further established reliable sequence-to-structure relationships [16]. Furthermore, the fold can be geometrically described by a small number of structural parameters, first conceived by Crick, which can be used to build and score

sequences *in silico* rapidly [19,20]. This parameterisable, inherently symmetric and relatively fixed geometry of coiled coils make them ideal modules for supramolecular self-assembly.

A basis set of *de novo* coiled coils for self-assembly

Towards such building blocks, we have developed a “basis set” of orthogonal coiled-coil assemblies that robustly adopt specific oligomeric states and C_n symmetries (Figure 2a).

Building on Harbury’s work, Fletcher *et al.* present completely *de novo* peptide sequences that homo-oligomerise into parallel dimer (CC-Di), trimer (CC-Tri) and tetramer (CC-Tet) arrangements, with all designs confirmed by high-resolution X-ray crystallography [21].

Thomas *et al.* demonstrate charge patterning at the **e** and **g** positions to design heterodimeric coiled coils (CC-Di-AB) with a range of dissociation constants [22]. Indeed, using rational and computational methods, a swathe of coiled-coil heterodimers are now available [23-27]. Combining rational and computational design, Thomson *et al.* describe parallel, blunt-ended coiled coils with five (CC-Pent), six (CC-Hex2) and seven (CC-Hept) helical chains [28]. These are α -helical barrels as they have contiguous central channels and are able to bind and discriminate between small molecules [29]. Recently, a thoroughly characterised antiparallel homotetramer with D_2 symmetry has been added to the toolkit [30]. From this *de novo* basis set of characterised components, a range of supramolecular structures have been designed and functionalised towards various applications as follows.

Self-assembling cages (SAGEs) have been constructed by linking CC-Tri- and CC-Di-AB-based components into hubs, which then assemble into extended hexagonal networks and close to yield particles (Figure 2b) [31]. The structure and assembly of the SAGEs have been modelled by both coarse-grained and all-atom simulations [32,33]. Modification of the component peptides can alter particle diameter and address the surface with small molecules, peptides and proteins to different densities [34,35]. When added to epithelial cells, SAGE particles show no cytotoxicity and are endocytosed at rates that can be

controlled by varying surface electrostatics [36]. Furthermore, antigenic peptides can be extended from the homotrimer component to drive selective and assembly-dependent immune responses *in vitro* and *in vivo* [37]. Thus, the SAGE system is highly modular, comprises fully *de novo* peptides assembling into a unique non-natural architecture and shows promise for cell-biology and biomedical applications.

The CC-Tri through CC-Hept components have all been adapted to assemble into highly ordered fibres or nanotubes through end-on-end association driven by complementary charge interactions or native chemical ligation *via* the peptide termini (Figure 2c) [38,39]. Crucially, these studies show that the superstructure and persistence of the tubular assemblies is a direct product of the individual coiled-coil geometry and electrostatics. As with the discrete coiled coils, nanotubes built from the α -helical barrels are capable of binding small molecules. Moreover, amphipathic helices of this type can be adapted to bind carbon nanotubes [40,41]. Collectively, this work demonstrates the potential biotechnological value of these proteinaceous fibres in materials and sensing applications.

Outside of the Woolfson group, the Marsh laboratory have fused CC-Tri, -Tet and -Pent to the outer face of a natural trimeric protein to produce closed, small and monodisperse particles with tetrahedral, octahedral and icosahedral geometries, respectively (Figure 2d) [42-45]. Single particle analysis using electron microscopy has generated low-resolution structures that match the design target for all three systems. In addition, the octahedral particles have been decorated with maltose-binding protein through fusion to the coiled-coil domain, further confirming the intended peptide orientation and providing the foundation for application development [44]. To complement these studies, the group have also tested the robustness of the CC-Di to CC-Pent components by fusing these sequences to GFP and investigating the linker length and component orientation to tolerate this large protein [46]. Such studies are critically important in the development of reliable “off-the-shelf” components.

Accessing discrete nanoparticles more generally

Beyond the basis set, α helices can be programmed to assemble into discrete, particulate architectures. Ryadnov *et al.* have designed a homodimeric coiled coil (with C_2 symmetry) with two additional polar “facets” that are each able to interact with facets on two neighbouring coiled coils (with C_3 symmetry) to give a hexagonal array that closes [47]. The resulting assemblies are relatively monodisperse and a low-resolution particle reconstruction from cryo-electron microscopy provides evidence of a hollow core, though the designed three-fold association between the homodimer subunits has not been verified. The assemblies are able to encapsulate and deliver nucleic acids to cultured mammalian cells.

The Burkhard group have developed self-assembling peptide nanoparticles (SAPNs). This is designed through the fusion of a *de novo* trimeric coiled coil with tetramer- or pentamer-forming sequences adapted from natural proteins to generate particles with octahedral or icosahedral symmetry, respectively (Figure 3a) [48,49]. These assemblies often display a broad size distribution due to the flexibility in the component peptides and consequently no high-resolution structural data have been obtained. The system has been successfully decorated with peptide epitopes and whole proteins to develop novel vaccines against influenza virus, HIV and *Plasmodium falciparum* that are highly immunogenic and protective in model murine systems [49-51].

The Jerala group have pioneered a different approach where α -helices form the edges, rather than the vertices, of polyhedral nanoparticles (Figure 3b). In their first study, six orthogonal dimeric coiled-coil sequences are concatenated into a single polypeptide that folds as intended into a discrete tetrahedron [52]. The design strategy has been extended to afford four-sided pyramid and triangular prism geometries [53]. Importantly, a new tetrahedron design folds correctly *in vivo* within the cytosol of murine hepatocytes. Similar approaches using heterodimeric coiled coils to produce discrete 2D polygons have also been reported by the Woolfson and Keating groups [54,55].

Assembling linear filaments and nanotubes

Fibres and nanotubes have also remained key targets for peptide self-assembly. In particular, the Conticello group have contributed two distinct fibrillar materials. A non-blunt-ended heptameric coiled coil was modified to associate longitudinally through terminal electrostatic interactions and shown to encapsulate the small molecule Prodan [56]. The group also describe bifaceted coiled-coil peptides that assemble into spiralling sheets and stack to form wide nanotubes stretching for many microns (Figure 3c) [57]. Two structurally distinct packing modes are observed by cryo-electron microscopy and one or two mutations are sufficient to induce the large structural rearrangement between these forms. The medical potential of this system has been investigated by extending a variety of epitopes from one of the peptide designs to produce immunogenic nanofibers [58]. When injected into mice, the fibres are internalised by antigen-presenting cells and the epitope triggers a specific immune response without the need for adjuvant. These studies, and the related work of Burgess *et al.* [38], demonstrate the versatility of nanotube assembly through non-covalent coiled-coil stacking.

An entirely new form of α -helical filament has been reported by the DeGrado group [59]. Described as a “cross- α amyloid-like fibril” due its similarity to the *Staphylococcus aureus* PSM α 3 peptide [60], the structure is composed of two twisted sheets of antiparallel helices that form parallel dimers across the superhelical axis. Mutation to a single interface position impacts assembly kinetics and leads to different structural geometries by X-ray crystallography. As with the SAGEs, these fibres are inherently highly modular, and their functionalisation could be tuned for precise molecular positioning.

Towards multi-dimensional systems

α -Helical building blocks that self-assemble in two or three dimensions will form lattices or crystals, respectively, and may offer new materials for industrial process or therapeutics (Figure 1). The Conticello laboratory describe an 18-residue repeat α -helix using just five amino acids that presents three orthogonal interfaces with pseudo- C_3 symmetry [61]. Due to the octadecad repeat, the helix-helix interactions display no superhelical twist and consequently the peptides assemble laterally to form large, highly uniform hexagonal arrays. Lattice parameters, such as interhelical distance and height, are consistent across multiple techniques, supporting the designed structural model and demonstrating a full understanding of the system that will be essential for downstream applications.

The inherent symmetry of coiled coils can also be used to tessellate lateral self-assembly. The Pochan and Saven groups have collaborated to demonstrate that the exterior surface of a D_2 symmetric antiparallel homotetramer can be designed computationally to form lattices with targeted space-group symmetries (Figure 3d) [62]. Many of these lattices stretch for over a micron with exceptional uniformity and their morphology and size can be controlled through sequence mutations and assembly conditions. A subsequent study investigates the effect of solution conditions on self-assembly to reveal that the system forms tubes, plates or needles depending on pH [63].

Finally, the computational design of a crystal-forming peptide has been accomplished by the DeGrado and Saven laboratories [64]. The C_3 symmetric coiled-coil homotrimer arrays laterally with P_6 symmetry and stacks through *N*-to-*C*-termini interactions. As well as material applications, the approach may aid the crystallisation of natural proteins.

Conclusions and future directions: peptide design in the cells

The α helix has proven to be a reliable module for assembling particles, tubes and lattices from the bottom up. However, only a handful of these *de novo* platforms have gone from design to function, and those that have mostly focus on vaccine development. Moreover, the

high cost and low scale of chemical peptide synthesis can hinder translation of peptide-based materials into real-life applications. A young and exciting area of *de novo* polypeptide design, where sufficient levels of production can be achieved, is within living cells.

Furthermore, designed intracellular proteins could control endogenous pathways or augment them with entirely new and orthogonal functionality. Minimal, *de novo* scaffolds that do not interfere with natural infrastructure will be critical for the positioning and spatiotemporal control of such functional proteins. Engineering biological systems in this way is at the core of synthetic biology and could offer organisms to produce fine chemicals, act as biosensors, or perform bioremediation.

Towards these goals, it has been shown that redesigned proteins can fold and self-assembled as prescribed within the cytoplasm [65-67]. Alongside this, a number of *de novo* α -helical peptides and small proteins have been presented that operate in cells [26]. From the Woolfson basis set, homo- and heterodimer peptides can replace the protein–protein interaction domains in transcription regulation machinery, while CC-Di-AB can direct the intracellular localisation of a synthetic “cytoscaffold”, as well as tether enzymes to its surface [68-70]. The Baker laboratory has designed specific hydrogen-bonding networks within four-helix bundles to generate a suite of heterodimers that assemble orthogonally in *E. coli* [71]. Furthermore, several groups have engineered protein-based logic circuits within mammalian cells that can rapidly modulate cell behaviour without the need for gene regulation [72,73]. In particular, the system developed by the Jerala group uses *de novo* coiled-coil dimers as orthogonal protein–protein interaction domains [73]. Using these fast logic networks to control the association of *de novo* protein assemblies temporally could create highly responsive platforms to present functional biomolecules. We see this emerging area of *protein design in cells* as one of the next challenges in protein design, which may contribute engineered organisms for multiple applications in basic and applied science.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

J.L.B. was supported by the BBSRC South West Doctoral Training Partnership (BB/J014400/1). J.L.B and D.N.W. are supported by grants from the ERC (340764) and the BBSRC/EPSRC-funded Synthetic Biology Research Centre (BB/L01386X/1). D.N.W. holds a Royal Society Wolfson Research Merit Award (WM140008).




Figure 1. General modes of α -helical peptide self-assembly. α Helices can be programmed to form coiled coils or globular bundles. These structures can be designed to adopt a range of supramolecular architectures including discrete objects (sometimes in concert with natural proteins), linear fibrils and nanotubes, and multi-dimensional arrays and crystals.




Figure 2. The Woolfson coiled-coil basis set and constructed assemblies. **a** X-ray crystal structures and symmetry groups of CC-Di (red, PDB ID 4DZM), CC-Tri (orange, PDB ID 4DZL), CC-Tet (yellow, *p*- denotes *pseudo*-, PDB ID 3R4A), CC-Pent (green, PDB ID 4PN8), CC-Hex2 (blue, PDB ID 4PN9), CC-Hept (purple, PDB ID 4PNA) and CC-Di-AB (red & blue; model) [21,22,28]. Examples of supramolecular structures created with the basis set include SAGEs (**b** [31]), nanotubes (**c** [38]) and protein-containing polyhedra (**d** [42]).




Figure 3. Coiled coil-based supramolecular systems. **a** Computational model of an icosahedral self-assembling peptide nanoparticle (SAPN) constructed with a pentameric coiled coil (green) and a *de novo* trimeric coiled coil (blue) presenting a variety of epitopes from *P. falciparum* (yellow, red and purple) (adapted from [49]). **b** Computational model of TET12SN, a single-chain tetrahedron composed of orthogonal dimeric coiled coils (adapted from [53]). **c** Atomic models fitted to cryo-electron microscopy reconstructions for two fibrillar packing modes accessed by bifaceted coiled coils (PDB ID 3J89 (left), adapted from [57]). **d** Computational model of a homotetrameric coiled coil (left) designed to adopt P422 (centre) and P622 (right) space groups as shown by transmission electron microscopy (scale bars: 20 nm) (adapted from [62]).

References

1. Woolfson DN, Bartlett GJ, Burton AJ, Heal JW, Niitsu A, Thomson AR, Wood CW: **De novo protein design: how do we expand into the universe of possible protein structures?** *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 2015, **33**:16-26.
2. Huang P-S, Boyken SE, Baker D: **The coming of age of de novo protein design.** *Nature* 2016, **537**:320-327.
3. Wood CW, Heal JW, Thomson AR, Bartlett GJ, Ibarra AÁ, Brady RL, Sessions RB, Woolfson DN: **ISAMBARD: an open-source computational environment for biomolecular analysis, modelling and design.** *Bioinformatics* 2017, **33**:3043-3050.
4. Norn CH, André I: **Computational design of protein self-assembly.** *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 2016, **39**:39-45.
5. Yeates TO: **Geometric principles for designing highly symmetric self-assembling protein nanomaterials.** *Annu Rev Biophys* 2017, **46**:23-42.
6. Yeates TO, Liu Y, Laniado J: **The design of symmetric protein nanomaterials comes of age in theory and practice.** *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 2016, **39**:134-143.
7. Padilla JE, Colovos C, Yeates TO: **Nanohedra: using symmetry to design self assembling protein cages, layers, crystals, and filaments.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2001, **98**:2217-2221.
8. Hsia Y, Bale JB, Gonen S, Shi D, Sheffler W, Fong KK, Nattermann U, Xu C, Huang P-S, Ravichandran R *et al.*: **Design of a hyperstable 60-subunit protein icosahedron.** *Nature* 2016, **535**:136-139.
9. Shen H, Fallas JA, Lynch E, Sheffler W, Parry B, Jannetty N, Decarreau J, Wagenbach M, Vicente JJ, Chen J *et al.*: **De novo design of self-assembling helical protein filaments.** *Science* 2018, **362**:705-709.
10. Alberstein R, Suzuki Y, Paesani F, Tezcan FA: **Engineering the entropy-driven free-energy landscape of a dynamic nanoporous protein assembly.** *Nat Chem* 2018, **10**:732-739.
11. Kobayashi N, Arai R: **Design and construction of self-assembling supramolecular protein complexes using artificial and fusion proteins as nanoscale building blocks.** *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 2017, **46**:57-65.
12. De Santis E, Ryadnov MG: **Peptide self-assembly for nanomaterials: the old new kid on the block.** *Chem Soc Rev* 2015, **44**:8288-8300.
13. Hamley IW: **Small bioactive peptides for biomaterials design and therapeutics.** *Chem Rev* 2017, **117**:14015-14041.
14. Fleming S, Ulijn RV: **Design of nanostructures based on aromatic peptide amphiphiles.** *Chem Soc Rev* 2014, **43**:8150-8177.
15. Mondal S, Gazit E: **The self-assembly of helical peptide building blocks.** *ChemNanoMat* 2016, **2**:323-332.
16. Woolfson DN, Bartlett GJ, Bruning M, Thomson AR: **New currency for old rope: from coiled-coil assemblies to α -helical barrels.** *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 2012, **22**:432-441.
17. Crick FHC: **The packing of α -helices: simple coiled-coils.** *Acta Crystallogr* 1953, **6**:689-697.
18. Harbury PB, Zhang T, Kim PS, Alber T: **A switch between two-, three-, and four-stranded coiled coils in GCN4 leucine zipper mutants.** *Science* 1993, **262**:1401-1407.
19. Crick FHC: **The Fourier transform of a coiled-coil.** *Acta Crystallogr* 1953, **6**:685-689.
20. Wood CW, Woolfson DN: **CCBuilder 2.0: Powerful and accessible coiled-coil modeling.** *Protein Sci* 2018, **27**:103-111.
21. Fletcher JM, Boyle AL, Bruning M, Bartlett GJ, Vincent TL, Zaccai NR, Armstrong CT, Bromley EHC, Booth PJ, Brady RL *et al.*: **A basis set of de novo coiled-coil peptide oligomers for rational protein design and synthetic biology.** *ACS Synth Biol* 2012, **1**:240-250.

22. Thomas F, Boyle AL, Burton AJ, Woolfson DN: **A set of de novo designed parallel heterodimeric coiled coils with quantified dissociation constants in the micromolar to sub-nanomolar regime.** *J Am Chem Soc* 2013, **135**:5161-5166.
23. Bromley EH, Sessions RB, Thomson AR, Woolfson DN: **Designed α -helical tectons for constructing multicomponent synthetic biological systems.** *J Am Chem Soc* 2008, **131**:928-930.
24. Reinke AW, Grant RA, Keating AE: **A synthetic coiled-coil interactome provides heterospecific modules for molecular engineering.** *J Am Chem Soc* 2010, **132**:6025-6031.
25. Gradišar H, Jerala R: **De novo design of orthogonal peptide pairs forming parallel coiled-coil heterodimers.** *J Pept Sci* 2011, **17**:100-106.
26. Thompson KE, Bashor CJ, Lim WA, Keating AE: **SYNZIP protein interaction toolbox: in vitro and in vivo specifications of heterospecific coiled-coil interaction domains.** *ACS Synth Biol* 2012, **1**:118-129.
27. Crooks RO, Lathbridge A, Panek AS, Mason JM: **Computational prediction and design for creating iteratively larger heterospecific coiled coil sets.** *Biochemistry* 2017, **56**:1573-1584.
28. Thomson AR, Wood CW, Burton AJ, Bartlett GJ, Sessions RB, Brady RL, Woolfson DN: **Computational design of water-soluble α -helical barrels.** *Science* 2014, **346**:485-488.
29. Thomas F, Dawson WM, Lang EJM, Burton AJ, Bartlett GJ, Rhys GG, Mulholland AJ, Woolfson DN: **De novo-designed α -helical barrels as receptors for small molecules.** *ACS Synth Biol* 2018, **7**:1808-1816.
30. Rhys GG, Wood CW, Beesley JL, Zaccai NR, Burton AJ, Brady RL, Thomson AR, Woolfson DN: **Navigating the structural landscape of de novo α -helical bundles.** *bioRxiv* 2018, doi: 10.1101/503698.
31. Fletcher JM, Harniman RL, Barnes FRH, Boyle AL, Collins A, Mantell J, Sharp TH, Antognozzi M, Booth PJ, Linden N *et al.*: **Self-assembling cages from coiled-coil peptide modules.** *Science* 2013, **340**:595-599.
32. Mosayebi M, Shoemark DK, Fletcher JM, Sessions RB, Linden N, Woolfson DN, Liverpool TB: **Beyond icosahedral symmetry in packings of proteins in spherical shells.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2017, **114**:9014-9019.
33. Shoemark DK, Ibarra AA, Ross JF, Beesley JL, Bray HE, Mosayebi M, Linden N, Liverpool TB, McIntosh-Smith SN, Woolfson DN *et al.*: **The dynamical interplay between a megadalton peptide nanocage and solutes probed by microsecond atomistic MD; implications for design.** *PCCP* 2019, **21**:137-147.
34. Ross JF, Bridges A, Fletcher JM, Shoemark D, Alibhai D, Bray HEV, Beesley JL, Dawson WM, Hodgson LR, Mantell J *et al.*: **Decorating self-assembled peptide cages with proteins.** *ACS Nano* 2017, **11**:7901-7914.
35. Galloway JM, Senior L, Fletcher JM, Beesley JL, Hodgson LR, Harniman RL, Mantell JM, Coombs J, Rhys GG, Xue W-F *et al.*: **Bioinspired silicification reveals structural detail in self-assembled peptide cages.** *ACS Nano* 2018, **12**:1420-1432.
36. Beesley JL, Baum HE, Hodgson LR, Verkade P, Banting G, Woolfson DN: **Modifying self-assembled peptide cages to control internalization into mammalian cells.** *Nano Lett* 2018, **18**:5933-5597.
37. Morris C, Glennie SJ, Lam HS, Baum HE, Kandage D, Williams NA, Morgan DJ, Woolfson DN, Davidson AD: **A modular vaccine platform combining self-assembled peptide cages and immunogenic peptides.** *Adv Funct Mater* 2019, **29**:1807357.
 • In this study, a peptide-based self-assembling nanocage system is decorated with functional epitopes to drive specific immune responses in cell and mouse models.
38. Burgess NC, Sharp TH, Thomas F, Wood CW, Thomson AR, Zaccai NR, Brady RL, Serpell LC, Woolfson DN: **Modular design of self-assembling peptide-based nanotubes.** *J Am Chem Soc* 2015, **137**:10554-10562.

●● Here the basis set *de novo* coiled-coil peptides are adapted to drive the formation of fibres and nanotubes. The geometry of the building blocks impacts on fibre/nanotube formation and assembly. For one peptide, the nanotubes are highly ordered allowing a high-resolution cryo-electron microscopy structure to be determined. The central channels of these nanotubes bind small molecules.

39. Thomas F, Burgess NC, Thomson AR, Woolfson DN: **Controlling the assembly of coiled-coil peptide nanotubes.** *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2016, **55**:987-991.
40. Mann FA, Horlebein J, Meyer NF, Meyer D, Thomas F, Kruss S: **Carbon nanotubes encapsulated in coiled-coil peptide barrels.** *Chem Eur J* 2018, **24**:12241-12245.
41. Grigoryan G, Kim YH, Acharya R, Axelrod K, Jain RM, Willis L, Drndic M, Kikkawa JM, DeGrado WF: **Computational design of virus-like protein assemblies on carbon nanotube surfaces.** *Science* 2011, **332**:1071-1076.
42. Sciore A, Su M, Koldewey P, Eschweiler JD, Diffley KA, Linhares BM, Ruotolo BT, Bardwell JCA, Skiniotis G, Marsh ENG: **Flexible, symmetry-directed approach to assembling protein cages.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2016, **113**:8681-8686.
43. Badiayan S, Sciore A, Eschweiler JD, Koldewey P, Cristie-David AS, Ruotolo BT, Bardwell JCA, Su M, Marsh ENG: **Symmetry-directed self-assembly of a tetrahedral protein cage mediated by de novo-designed coiled coils.** *ChemBioChem* 2017, **18**:1888-1892.
44. Cristie-David AS, Koldewey P, Meinen BA, Bardwell JCA, Marsh ENG: **Elaborating a coiled coil-assembled octahedral protein cage with additional protein domains.** *Protein Sci* 2018, **27**:1893-1990.
 - The authors have developed their own design of hybrid *de novo* peptide and protein-based polyhedra by decorating the particles with maltose-binding protein.
45. Cristie-David AS, Chen J, Nowak DB, Park SI, Holl MMB, Su M, Marsh ENG: **Coiled coil-mediated assembly of an icosahedral protein cage with extremely high thermal and chemical stability.** *bioRxiv* 2018, doi: 10.1101/316331.
46. Cristie-David AS, Sciore A, Badiayan S, Eschweiler JD, Koldewey P, Bardwell JCA, Ruotolo BT, Marsh ENG: **Evaluation of de novo-designed coiled coils as off-the-shelf components for protein assembly.** *Mol Syst Des Eng* 2017, **2**:140-148.
47. Noble JE, De Santis E, Ravi J, Lamarre B, Castelletto V, Mantell J, Ray S, Ryadnov MG: **A de novo virus-like topology for synthetic virions.** *J Am Chem Soc* 2016, **138**:12202-12210.
48. Doll TAPF, Dey R, Burkhard P: **Design and optimization of peptide nanoparticles.** *J Nanobiotechnology* 2015, **13**:73-85.
49. Kaba SA, Karch CP, Seth L, Ferlez KM, Storme CK, Pesavento DM, Laughlin PY, Bergmann-Leitner ES, Burkhard P, Lanar DE: **Self-assembling protein nanoparticles with built-in flagellin domains increases protective efficacy of a Plasmodium falciparum based vaccine.** *Vaccine* 2018, **36**:906-914.
50. Karch CP, Li J, Kulangara C, Paulillo SM, Raman SK, Emadi S, Tan A, Helal ZH, Fan Q, Khan MI et al.: **Vaccination with self-adjuvanted protein nanoparticles provides protection against lethal influenza challenge.** *Nanomed Nanotechnol Biol Med* 2017, **13**:241-251.
 - This paper describes the functionalisation of a *de novo* peptide nanoparticles with multiple influenza antigens, which protect mice from a normally lethal viral challenge.
51. Wahome N, Pfeiffer T, Ambiel I, Yang Y, Keppler OT, Bosch V, Burkhard P: **Conformation-specific display of 4E10 and 2F5 epitopes on self-assembling protein nanoparticles as a potential HIV vaccine.** *Chem Biol Drug Des* 2012, **80**:349-357.
52. Gradišar H, Božič S, Doles T, Vengust D, Hafner-Bratkovič I, Mertelj A, Webb B, Šali A, Klavžar S, Jerala R: **Design of a single-chain polypeptide tetrahedron assembled from coiled-coil segments.** *Nat Chem Biol* 2013, **9**:362-366.

53. Ljubetič A, Lapenta F, Gradišar H, Drobnak I, Aupič J, Strmšek Ž, Lainšček D, Hafner-Bratkovič I, Majerle A, Krivec N *et al.*: **Design of coiled-coil protein-origami cages that self-assemble in vitro and in vivo.** *Nat Biotechnol* 2017, **35**:1094-1101.
 • This work expands on a previous study to show that orthogonal coiled-coil dimers can form the edges of three different polyhedra. One design folds within cultured cells and murine hepatocytes.
54. Boyle AL, Bromley EHC, Bartlett GJ, Sessions RB, Sharp TH, Williams CL, Curmi PMG, Forde NR, Linke H, Woolfson DN: **Squaring the circle in peptide assembly: from fibers to discrete nanostructures by de novo design.** *J Am Chem Soc* 2012, **134**:15457-15467.
55. Park WM, Bedewy M, Berggren KK, Keating AE: **Modular assembly of a protein nanotriangle using orthogonally interacting coiled coils.** *Sci Rep* 2017, **7**:10577.
56. Xu C, Liu R, Mehta AK, Guerrero-Ferreira RC, Wright ER, Dunin-Horkawicz S, Morris K, Serpell LC, Zuo X, Wall JS *et al.*: **Rational design of helical nanotubes from self-assembly of coiled-coil lock washers.** *J Am Chem Soc* 2013, **135**:15565-15578.
57. Egelman EH, Xu C, DiMaio F, Magnotti E, Modlin C, Yu X, Wright E, Baker D, Conticello VP: **Structural plasticity of helical nanotubes based on coiled-coil assemblies.** *Structure* 2015, **23**:280-289.
58. Wu Y, Norberg PK, Reap EA, Congdon KL, Fries CN, Kelly SH, Sampson JH, Conticello VP, Collier JH: **A supramolecular vaccine platform based on α -helical peptide nanofibers.** *ACS Biomater Sci Eng* 2017, **3**:3128-3132.
59. Zhang S-Q, Huang H, Yang J, Kratochvil HT, Lolicato M, Liu Y, Shu X, Liu L, DeGrado WF: **Designed peptides that assemble into cross- α amyloid-like structures.** *Nat Chem Biol* 2018, **14**:870-875.
 • This paper details a completely novel fibre architecture and shows that single mutations to the 29-residue peptide can affect assembly kinetics and fibre geometry.
60. Tayeb-Fligelman E, Tabachnikov O, Moshe A, Goldshmidt-Tran O, Sawaya MR, Coquelle N, Colletier J-P, Landau M: **The cytotoxic *Staphylococcus aureus* PSM α 3 reveals a cross- α amyloid-like fibril.** *Science* 2017, **355**:831-833.
61. Magnotti EL, Hughes SA, Dillard RS, Wang S, Hough L, Karumbamkandathil A, Lian T, Wall JS, Zuo X, Wright ER *et al.*: **Self-assembly of an α -helical peptide into a crystalline two-dimensional nanoporous framework.** *J Am Chem Soc* 2016, **138**:16274-16282.
 • The authors design straight α helices to array laterally into a hexagonal network, which is characterised thoroughly through a variety of experiments.
62. Zhang HV, Polzer F, Haider MJ, Tian Y, Villegas JA, Kiick KL, Pochan DJ, Saven JG: **Computationally designed peptides for self-assembly of nanostructured lattices.** *Sci Adv* 2016, **2**:e1600307-e1600315.
 •• The authors computationally design antiparallel tetrameric coiled coils to assemble laterally into predefined space groups. Transmission electron micrographs reveal that the resulting lattices are highly uniform over large distances with lattice parameters closely matching the design target.
63. Tian Y, Polzer FB, Zhang HV, Kiick KL, Saven JG, Pochan DJ: **Nanotubes, plates, and needles: pathway-dependent self-assembly of computationally designed peptides.** *Biomacromolecules* 2018, **19**:4286-4298.
64. Lanci CJ, MacDermaid CM, Kang S-g, Acharya R, North B, Yang X, Qiu XJ, DeGrado WF, Saven JG: **Computational design of a protein crystal.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2012, **109**:7304-7309.
65. Wörsdörfer B, Woycechowsky KJ, Hilvert D: **Directed evolution of a protein container.** *Science* 2011, **331**:589-592.

66. Votteler J, Ogohara C, Yi S, Hsia Y, Nattermann U, Belnap DM, King NP, Sundquist WI: **Designed proteins induce the formation of nanocage-containing extracellular vesicles.** *Nature* 2016, **540**:292.
67. Butterfield GL, Lajoie MJ, Gustafson HH, Sellers DL, Nattermann U, Ellis D, Bale JB, Ke S, Lenz GH, Yehdego A *et al.*: **Evolution of a designed protein assembly encapsulating its own RNA genome.** *Nature* 2017, **552**:415-420.
68. Smith AJ, Thomas F, Shoemark D, Woolfson DN, Savery NJ: **Guiding biomolecular interactions in cells using de novo protein-protein interfaces.** *bioRxiv* 2018, doi: 10.1101/486902.
69. Lee MJ, Mantell J, Hodgson L, Alibhai D, Fletcher JM, Brown IR, Frank S, Xue W-F, Verkade P, Woolfson DN *et al.*: **Engineered synthetic scaffolds for organizing proteins within the bacterial cytoplasm.** *Nat Chem Biol* 2018, **14**:142-147.
 ●● In this study, *de novo* coiled-coil heterodimer peptides are appended to a nanotube-forming protein in *E. coli*. The coiled-coil components can be used to direct the filaments to the bacterial inner membrane, or to recruit enzymes to the surface of nanotubes in cells. Transmission electron tomography of whole cells provides invaluable insight on nanotube organisation within the *E. coli* cytoplasm.
70. Lee MJ, Mantell J, Brown IR, Fletcher JM, Verkade P, Pickersgill RW, Woolfson DN, Frank S, Warren MJ: **De novo targeting to the cytoplasmic and luminal side of bacterial microcompartments.** *Nat Commun* 2018, **9**:3413.
71. Chen Z, Boyken SE, Jia M, Busch F, Flores-Solis D, Bick MJ, Lu P, VanAernum ZL, Sahasrabudde A, Langan RA *et al.*: **Programmable design of orthogonal protein heterodimers.** *Nature* 2018, **565**:106-111.
72. Gao XJ, Chong LS, Kim MS, Elowitz MB: **Programmable protein circuits in living cells.** *Science* 2018, **361**:1252-1258.
73. Fink T, Lonzarić J, Praznik A, Plaper T, Merljak E, Leben K, Jerala N, Lebar T, Strmšek Ž, Lapenta F *et al.*: **Design of fast proteolysis-based signaling and logic circuits in mammalian cells.** *Nat Chem Biol* 2019, **15**:115-122.
 ●● The authors combine *de novo* coiled-coil dimers and split proteases to create modular signalling cascades and Boolean logic circuits within mammalian cells. These rapid networks do not involve gene regulation and could be used to control *de novo* protein assembly.